

1 DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669
2 City Attorney
3 CHERYL ADAMS, State Bar #164194
4 Chief Trial Attorney
5 DONALD P. MARGOLIS, State Bar #116588
6 ELIZABETH PEDERSON, State Bar #288184
7 Deputy City Attorneys
8 Fox Plaza
9 1390 Market Street, Sixth Floor
10 San Francisco, California 94102-5408
11 Telephone: (415) 554-3853 [Margolis]
12 Telephone: (415) 554-3862 [Pederson]
13 Facsimile: (415) 554-3837
14 E-Mail: don.margolis@sfgov.org
15 E-Mail: elizabeth.pederson@sfgov.org

16 Attorneys for Defendants
17 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
18 MARIA ELENA MARTINEZ, SUSAN TAIT,
19 BARBARA HIGGINS, MAYRA GOMEZ,
20 and DAN PHILLIPS

21 CATHERINE JONES,

22 Plaintiff,

23 vs.

24 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
25 FRANCISCO, et al.,

26 Defendants.

27 Case No. 11-cv-04884 LB (MEJ)

28 **STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER
VACATING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE**

29 Current Sett. Conf. Date: June 18, 2013, 1:00 pm
30 Trial Date: September 16, 2013

31 The parties stipulate to an order vacating the settlement conference set for June 18, 2013 in this
32 matter.

33 **RECITALS**

34 A. On February 25, 2013, this Court filed its Notice of Continuance of Settlement
35 Conference, re-setting the settlement conference date for June 18, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. (Dkt. 31.)

36 B. On March 28, 2013, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. In the course of
37 a telephone conference concerning that motion on June 3, 2013, among counsel and Magistrate Judge

1 Beeler, after previous adjustments of the hearing date on defendants' motion, the Court reset the
2 hearing date on the motion from June 6 to June 20, 2013. In discussing the issue of an appropriate
3 hearing date, Judge Beeler raised the subject of the value and timing of the upcoming settlement
4 conference. Plaintiff's counsel stated he was pessimistic that the settlement conference could lead to a
5 resolution while the summary judgment motion remained to be heard. Defendant's counsel concurred.

6 C. Based on counsel's assessments, Judge Beeler agreed that it would be appropriate to
7 seek an order by Judge James vacating the settlement conference, and authorized counsel to represent
8 her view to Judge James.

9 D. Trial in this matter is set for September 16, 2013.

10 **STIPULATION**

11 In the interest of promoting economy and efficiency for the Court and the parties, and avoiding
12 a settlement conference that the parties believe has little prospect of yielding a settlement, at least at
13 this juncture, the parties stipulate to the entry of an order vacating the June 18, 2013 settlement
14 conference, without prejudice to the right of either party to seek the setting of a settlement conference
15 at a later date on which the Court is available, after the hearing on the pending summary judgment
16 motion.

17
18 Dated: June 4, 2013

DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney

19
20 By: /s/ Donald P. Margolis
DONALD P. MARGOLIS
21 Deputy City Attorney
Attorneys for Defendants

22 Dated: June 4, 2013

THE LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT R. POWELL

23 By: /s/ Dennis Ingols
DENNIS INGOLS
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff

1
ORDER
2

3 Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, it is hereby ordered that the settlement conference
4 scheduled for June 18, 2013 is VACATED, subject to the right of any party to request a rescheduled
5 settlement conference after the hearing on the motion for summary judgment, and subject to the
6 availability of a suitable date on the Court's calendar for a settlement conference.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8 Dated: June 4, 2013

9 By: _____
10



11 HON. MARIA-ELENA JAMES
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28